Willows Community High School School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2017-18 School Year Published During 2018-19

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2018-19)

School Contact Inf	School Contact Information			
School Name	Willows Community High School			
Street	823 West Laurel Street			
City, State, Zip	Willows, CA 95988			
Phone Number	(530) 934-6605			
Principal	Dr. Mort Geivett			
E-mail Address	mgeivett@willowsunified.org			
Web Site	http://www.willowsunified.org/wchs/			
CDS Code	11-62661-1130038			

District Contact Information		
District Name	Willows Unified School District	
Phone Number	(530) 934-6600	
Superintendent	Mort Geivett, Ed.D.	
E-mail Address	mgeivett@willowsunified.org	
Web Site	www.willowsunified.org	

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2018-19)

Willows Community High School (WCHS) is in the Willows Unified School District and serves students in grades 10-12. WCHS is an alternative educational setting designed to provide a comprehensive curriculum to meet the needs of students at risk of not meeting graduation requirements and/or preparation for college or career.

Many of the WCHS students have experienced issues with poor attendance, discipline, and/or poor grades. WCHS allows its students opportunities for academic and behavioral success through curriculum and programs that are geared toward their individual learning styles and ability levels.

WCHS embraces the district-wide mission statement "Preparing today's students for tomorrow's challenges," and works with students accordingly.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2017-18)

Grade	Number of	
Level	Students	
Grade 10	4	
Grade 11	5	
Grade 12	7	
Total Enrollment	16	

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2017-18)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment		
Black or African American	0.0		
American Indian or Alaska Native	6.3		
Asian	0.0		
Filipino	0.0		
Hispanic or Latino	56.3		
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0		
White	37.5		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	81.3		
English Learners	12.5		
Students with Disabilities	6.3		
Foster Youth	0.0		

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District	
reactiers	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2018-19	
With Full Credential	2	1	1	71	
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0	
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0	

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0	
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0	
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0	

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2018-19)

Year and month in which data were collected: 05-03-2018

The Williams legislation demands that all schools have enough books in core classes for all students. The law also demands districts to purchase standard-based textbooks that align to the California State Standards.

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy	
Reading/Language Arts	Elements of Writing Holt, Rinehart & Winston Vocabulary Achievement (Volumes 1-6) Writers Choice 11 & 12 Glenco-McGraw Hill English Literature, Inter Active Reader Workbook, Literature (Prentice Hall), The Cornerstone Anthology (Globe)	Yes	0	
Mathematics	Algebra I & Pre-Algebra Prentice-Hall Basic Mathematics wkbk/hardback Fundamentals of Mathematics Houghton Mifflin Geometry-Tools for Changing World Bass, Hall, Johnson & Wood	Yes	0	
Science	Biology "An Everyday Experience" -Glencoe Earth Science-Prentice Hall	Yes	0	

^{*} Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy	
History-Social Science	The Americans McDougal Littell Modern World History McDougal Littell	Yes	0	
Health	Decisions for Health- Steck-Vaughn Health - Holt, Rinehart & Winston	Yes	0	
Visual and Performing Arts	Exploring Art Media Short lesson in Art History- T. Weston	Yes	0	

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

In August 2007, the District Office moved from the property located downtown, to its current location on the west side of the Community High building. The relocation has been successful for both the District and the Community High School.

The safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of school facilities, including any needed maintenance to ensure good repair of the buildings are important to the school and district. Most recently, improvements were made to the classroom and office heating and air conditioning systems as well as the outside security lighting. The parking lot and "hard court" play area were also resurfaced and security cameras were installed for night monitoring.

The facility consists of one permanent building for the office and one portable building for the classroom. Breakfast and lunches are prepared at the comprehensive high school and transported to our facility on a daily basis. A part-time custodian and the district maintenance and grounds personnel help to provide safe, clean, and well-kept classroom and office facilities.

To determine the condition of our facilities, our district annually performs an inspection using a survey called the Facilities Inspection Tool (FIT), which is issued by the Office of Public School Construction. Information and data from the FIT survey were used in putting together this report. Please note that the information reflects the condition of our buildings as of the date of the report. Since that time, those conditions may have changed.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

Using the most recently collected FIT data (or equivalent), provide the following:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The overall rating

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 09/28/2018				
System Inspected	Repair Status	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned		
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Good			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Good			
Electrical: Electrical	Good			

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 09/28/2018					
System Inspected	Repair Status	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Good				
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good				
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Fair	Room 202 roof leaks from time to time.			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Good				

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 09/28/2018				
Overall Rating	Good			

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the
 Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate
 Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade
 eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate
 achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant
 cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University
 of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
Subject	School		District		State	
	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)			27.0	25.0	48.0	50.0
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)			21.0	19.0	37.0	38.0

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2017-18)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students				
Male				
Female	40 -44			
Black or African American				
Hispanic or Latino				
White				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged				
English Learners				

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2017-18)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students				
Male				
Female				
Black or African American				
Hispanic or Latino				
White				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged				
English Learners				

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

Grades Five, Eight, and Ten

		Percentage of S	tudents Meeting	ting or Exceeding the State Standard			
Subject	School		Dis	trict	State		
	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18	
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: The 2016–17 and 2017–18 data are not available. The CDE is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The CAST was pilot-tested in spring 2017 and field-tested in spring 2018. The CAST will be administered operationally during the 2018–19 school year. The CAA for Science was pilot-tested for two years (i.e., 2016–17 and 2017–18) and the CAA for Science will be field-tested in 2018–19.

Note: Science test results include the CAST and the CAA for Science. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the CAST plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAA for Science divided by the total number of students who participated on both assessments.

Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2017-18)

We do not offer Career Technical Education courses at our school.

Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2017-18)

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of pupils participating in CTE	NA
% of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	NA
% of CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education	NA

Courses for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) Admission

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2017–18 Pupils Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	100.0
2016–17 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	0.0

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2018-19)

Parents have several opportunities to become involved in their child's education through Back to School Night, Open House, or Parent Volunteer Participation. Please contact the school principal, Dr. Mort Geivett, to find out how you can participate.

State Priority: Pupil Engagement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Engagement (Priority 5):

- · High school dropout rates; and
- · High school graduation rates.

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

Indicator	School			District			State		
indicator	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Dropout Rate	35.7	25.0	15.4	10.3	5.0	5.8	10.7	9.7	9.1
Graduation Rate	42.9	68.8	69.2	86.0	93.1	90.1	82.3	83.8	82.7

For the formula to calculate the 2016–17 adjusted cohort graduation rate, see the 2017–18 Data Element Definitions document located on the SARC web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements - Graduating Class of 2017 (One-Year Rate)

proper of the grant of the	Graduting Class of 2017 (One-Year Nate)						
Canalia		Graduating Class of 2017					
Group	School	District	State				
All Students	100.0	94.9	88.7				
Black or African American	0.0	0.0	82.2				
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0	0.0	82.8				
Asian	0.0	100.0	94.9				
Filipino	0.0	0.0	93.5				
Hispanic or Latino	100.0	92.3	86.5				
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.0	100.0	88.6				
White	100.0	100.0	92.1				
Two or More Races	0.0	0.0	91.2				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	100.0	100.0	88.6				
English Learners	100.0	90.0	56.7				
Students with Disabilities	0.0	100.0	67.1				
Foster Youth	0.0	0.0	74.1				

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- · Pupil suspension rates;
- · Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
Kate	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Suspensions	2.3	35.1	27.8	2.4	8.6	7.5	3.7	3.7	3.5
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

School Safety Plan (School Year 2018-19)

Safety is a high priority at Willows Community High School. An extensive school safety plan, updated annually, in accordance with SB 187, has been developed and implemented. This plan includes the following emergency procedures: traumatic incidents, imminent danger procedure-Code Red, evacuation/relocation procedure, civil defense/disorder, bomb threat/bomb emergency, earthquake, chemical spill, crime in progress, and fire/explosion. The plan was reviewed and updated September, 2018.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

		201	5-16			2016-17			2017-18			
Subject	Avg.	Numb	er of Clas	srooms	Avg.	Avg. Number of C		per of Classrooms		Number of Classrooms		
	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+
English	8.0	2	1		4.0	5			6.0	3		
Mathematics	20.0	1			6.0	3			15.0	1		
Science	6.0	3			7.0	2			15.0	1		
Social Science	4.0	6			4.0	5			3.0	5		***************************************

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2017-18)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor		25
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0.5	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)		N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)		N/A
Psychologist		N/A
Social Worker		N/A
Nurse	.10	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist		N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)		N/A
Other		N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2016-17)

		Average			
Level	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	Teacher Salary	
School Site	\$10,986	\$1,193	\$17,299	\$96,467	
District	N/A	N/A	\$40,488	\$77,141	
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-57.3	18.8	
State	N/A	N/A	\$7,125	\$63,590	
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	66.1	58.9	

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

The California Department of Education issued guidance to LEAs on August 1, 2018, regarding how to calculate school-level per-pupil expenditures that will be reported on 2018-19 report cards.

^{*}One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2017-18)

We are required to report financial data from the 2017-18 school year by the California Department of Education. More recent financial data is available on request from the district office. It is important to note when comparing Teacher and Administrative Salaries, these salaries include BOSS (Benefits on Salary Schedule).

Spending per Student:

To make comparisons possible across schools and districts of varying sizes, we first report our overall spending per student. We base our calculations on our average daily attendance (ADA) for the 2017-18 school year.

We've broken down expenditures by the type of funds used to pay for them. Unrestricted funds can be used for any lawful purpose. Restricted funds, however, must be spent for specific purposes set out by legal requirements or the donor. Examples include funding for instructional materials and teacher and principal training funds.

Next to the figures for the district and state averages, we show the percentage by which the school's spending varies from the district and state averages. For example, we calculate the school's variance from the district average using this formula:

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2016-17)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$56,860	\$42,990
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$62,661	\$61,614
Highest Teacher Salary	\$96,467	\$85,083
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$121,567	\$100,802
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$123,686	\$105,404
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$128,576	\$106,243
Superintendent Salary	\$159,364	\$132,653
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	39.0	30.0
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	7.0	6.0

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Advanced Placement (AP) Courses (School Year 2017-18)

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science	0	N/A
English	0	N/A
Fine and Performing Arts	0	N/A
Foreign Language	0	N/A
Mathematics	0	N/A
Science	0	N/A
Social Science	0	N/A
All courses	0	.0

Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

Our teacher and other staff members take time each year to improve their practices and to extend their knowledge of the subjects they teach and the skills they must possess to work successfully with our students. Much of the past trainings have been related to state standards and technology use both inside and outside the classroom.

^{*}Where there are student course enrollments of at least one student.